rt 2004 Bua	get Meeting Comments	5						
Formal Commenter	Budget/Funding	Tanks	TPA/Regulators	Technology	Groundwater	ESH	Waste Importation	Other
1). Raging Grannies		Don't grout. Cleanup reform account is equivalent to blackmail. Vitrify the waste. Empty high level waste tanks. Vitrify 75%, don't leave leaking active in waste tanks.	Uphold Tri-Party Agreement, meet legal deadlines.		Cleanup soil and groundwater.			Shut down the Fast Flux Test Facility, drain the coolar by 2003, use the savings for cleanup.
2). Ruth Yarrow Physicians for Social Responsibility (PSR) Seattle, Wash. 98105	The \$433M is a bargaining tool to get Washington State to accept mixed low level waste.	Reclassification of waste is unacceptable. There is a new wrinkle (the Plan) every year, and that just takes attention of the tanks.	·	The Plan doesn't talk about alternative treatments. We need to know what they are.		The Plan should address the effects on workers and those living downstream.		There should be public involvement in the C3T process.
3). Sasha Sajovic Heart of America Northwest Seattle, Wash. 98105		No grout. Take all of the waste out of the tanks, vitrify it, and store it.	Stick to the Tri-Party Agreement.		Don't abandon groundwater.		Cease shipments. Deal with what's there.	
4). Magna Sindstrom Heart of America Northwest Seattle, Wash. 98105		The Plan states that all the waste will be vitrified by 2028, but it will actually be 2049. Grout ignores the problem, but reaches the 2028 date. No grout.	Stick to the Tri-Party Agreement re: high level waste. Regulators must hold DOE accountable to Tri-Party Agreement, protect the citizens of the Northwest.					
5). Tom Sicilia Heart of America Northwest Seattle, Wash. 98105					Groundwater monitoring is inadequate.			
6). Charles Weems PSR Seattle, Wash. 98105			I'm glad that the Regulators are at the meeting. The DOE has a new directive: not quality, but speed, acceleration, and less money. Regulators need to keep our best interest at heart.		Is there anything in the Plan that indicates that the plumes already down to the Columbia River will be treated in 150 years?			
7). William Withernup PSR Seattle, Wash. 98105			interest at ricart.			Stop pussy-footing around with cost/benefit analyses. Clean it up now.		
8). Heather St. John Seattle, Wash. 98103		Acceleration is okay, but leaving the waste where it is not. (This also applies to RL).	The \$433M is coming back to us at a cost. We will get it if we agree to change the Tri-Party Agreement. That's unacceptable.					
9). Martin Fleck PSR Seattle, Wash. 98105						The Tri-Party Agreement contains the minimum requirements to protect human health. Reclassifying it (grout) and using other Soviet style cleanup tactics won't protect human health.		The plan is an immense rip-off. It is a crime. We are compelled to object for our children and their children.
10). Barbara Zepdea Seattle, Wash. 98102								Until you have some objective evaluation by an agend that isn't making money on the cleanup, Hanford wor get cleaned up. How do we compare in cleaning up Hanford to what other countries are doing? We sper \$400B on Defense, \$40B on covert terrorism, and \$41 on Homeland Security. We need to cleanup the real terror which is our waste.
11). John Perreault Seattle, Wash. 98119	Spend the money to cleanup and protect the citizens. That is what I expect of my tax dollars.		The Regulators must watch out for us.			Keep the citizens safe and protect them from the contaminants. Don't go halfway. Don't let disaster happen.		
12). Fred Miller Peace and Justice Alliance Seattle, Wash. 98105								Get on with cleanup. Protect the whistleblower. I don't see any credibility. I am paying a lot of money for paper. Cleanup all the waste. Tritium is difficult problem. Cleanup I

	В	D	E	F	G	. Н	I	J	К
3	Formal Commenter	Budget/Funding	Tanks	TPA/Regulators	Technology	Groundwater	ESH	Waste Importation	Other
	13). Gerald Pollet	There is not enough money to pay for basic compliance with the Tri-Party Agreement and other applicable requried work and to add all the comp proposals in this plan.	Are you too embarrassed by your plans to leave waste in high-level nuclear waste tanks to clearly state in your notice ads & mailing that is what you proposed? How much waste is left in Tank C-106? What risk assessment has been done on C-106's remaining nuclear wastes under different possible actions? For high level nuclear wastes, our highest priority is to retrieve the wastes from the tanks and to vitrify the waste. a) proposed schemes to not vitrify waste illegally relyupon efforts to say that waste does not require "permanenet isolation from the environment"; b). "grout" (aka: cement) has already been shown to result in greatly increased long-term grounwater contamination and total cancer risk; c). since 1996, we have said that planning to retrieve and vitrify only 10% of the waste by 2018 was woefully inadequate, and that the Tri-Party Agreement should require twice that amount by 2018. If US DOE focused on retrieving and vitrifying more waste, the risk would be lowered faster, and ultimate costs would be lower. Proposals to leave high-level nuclear waste in tanks forever in the proposal of the p	Don't relax/change Tri-Party Agreement deadlines. If these meetings are required under the Tri- Party Agreement, why weren't the notices jointly produced? Why were there no views from Ecology and EPA in the mailed notice?		Need to address groundwater remediation in plan.		•	
20	14). Hue Beattie	Accelerate, but with double the budget, accelerate	No grout And do vitrification						
		the funding.	No grout. And do vitilization.						
	15). Alisa Tripp Heart of America Northwest Seattle, Wash. 98101						Safety of the public health and protection of the Columbia River should be of the utmost concern.		
	16). Jennifer L. Moore Heart of America Northwest Seattle, Wash. 98101		The Plan is a nightmare. Vitrify the waste, and get it away from the Columbia River.	Hold to the Tri-Party Agreement.					
	17). Sylvia Haven Heart of America Northwest Seattle, Wash. 98125			I want the to Regulators to yell louder for my interests & the interests of the others in this state.					Why isn't the Hanford cleanup a national security issue? We ought to get lots of money to do the cleanup because it is a national security issue.
		Accelerating cleanup to save money over the long-term is good, but you need more money up front. Double the money if you are going to keep the Tri-Party Agreement and maintain safety.					Hanford is an example of national insecurity, This ain't house dust - this is disaster prevention. This is public health for our children and grandchildren. This is a quiet - chronic disaster. You need to look at Hanford as a disaster ready to happen.		Put Hanford in same basket as Homeland Security.
•	19). Nancy Kroening Seattle, Wash. 98115	Why are the costs so high? Why isn't this job done yet?	Grout fails. It doesn't make any sense at all.	Hold DOE to the Tri-Party Agreement. It will be hard to do.	We will continue to oppose the use of nuclear technology.			State.	Would like to see the Hanford Site opened up to the people for recreation. I would retire in the Tri-Cities if it wasn't for all the nuclear waste. Cleanup is imperative because of terrorism.
26									

В		D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	К
3 Formal Com	menter	Budget/Funding	Tanks	TPA/Regulators	Technology	Groundwater	ESH	Waste Importation	Other
20). Susan Cobu Oregon Office of Portland, Oregon	urn Hughs Energy า	We applaud DOE's commitment of \$433M to	Get the vitrification plant up & running sooner & at higher capacities before focusing on alternatives or other initiatives.	_		Concentrate on accelerating cleanup of groundwater.		•	
21). Paul Rainey Lake Oswego, Or	re. 97035		Clean up the tanks, vitrifiy the waste. Build the vitrification plant first and talk about bringing waste to Hanford later.			Find an effective method to treat the contaminated groundwater plumes.			There are many other groups which might be interested in learning about these issues. Fishing groups. Economic groups, local governments, and watershed councils all work closely together, and would be interested in watching the progress at Hanford. I would like to see more attention given to getting the facts out to a wider range of citizens.
31	ely	Need full funding for Hanford.				Address groundwater treatment.			Progress is being made.
24). Tom Miller Columbia River II Fish Commission Portland, Oregon 33 25). Hon. Earl BI U. S. House of Representatives Washington, D. Co	n 97232 Llumenauer				Imperative that new ideas be thoroughly evaluated to ensure that they move the clean-up forward & do not leave a deteriorating situation. New technologies must not interfere in the construction & start of the vitrification plant.			Protect and maintain healthy salmon.	There are three legal obligations regarding Tribes: Trust obligations; Treaty regulations; Executive Order 12898. Honor these three obligations in the cleanup process. No new waste at Hanford until the vitrification plant is constructed and proven successful.
34 26). Vera DaFoe 27). Joyce Fallin	97219	thorough cleanup.	No grout. Don't spend \$20M on tank demos. Clean them out now.		Don't spend \$20M on tank demo. Clean	Commit to cleaning up		Accept no more waste at Hanford.	No expansion. No re-starting. Clean it up now. Decommission and close Fast Flux Test Facility right
Hanford Watch 37 Portland, Oregon 28). Paige Knigh			am worried about HQ not wanting vitrification - would be the 5th failure on this approach. I am in favor of the vitrification facility but question whether it can be done without adequate	regulations - the Administration is	them out now.	infrastructure, groundwater and soil.			now. The Plan outlines faster & cheaper, but are we getting LESS or NO cleanup? GAO are reports on target. I support the change, but as a citizenry we need to be very alert to the implications of these changes.
38 29). Tom Carper Gov't Accountabi Seattle, Wash. See attached lett complete text	ility Project 98101		funds. Why are the Washington Dept. of Ecology & the USEPA allowing DOE to side-step the laws & regulations on these critical maters of public health & safety & protection of the environment? Failure to perform an adequate & thorough cleanup in conformance with the laws of this nation and this State will be met with the full force of legal challenges, Congressional resistance, and organizing.				affecting our environmental resources including our groundwater and the waters of the Columbia River right now. The problem will only escalate with time.	nation. Spent nuclear fuel, by law can only be buried in a deep	Government Accountability Project condemns the moral and technical bankruptcy of the DOE's Accelerated Cleanup plan. Much of the plutonium for those nuclear warheads created at Hanford. The cost of the cleanup at Hanford is simply a further cost that must be borne by this nation, and cannot be shirked. It must also be said that the U. S. gov't is planning to put more money into new nuclear weapons development & research—particularly for tactical nuclear weapons—even as it turns its back on cleanup obligations from the old cycle of production. It is time for DOE to vacate the premises at Hanford—take its plutonium and get out of Washington State—and leave oversight of the cleanup to a regionally-appointed oversight board that will conduct a full and final cleanup that meets federal and state environmental laws.
39 30). Patrick Nort	ton								Fast Flux Test Facility maintenance is robbing money
Portland, Oregon 31). Robert Keitl	97212						Native Americans need		from the cleanup budget.
Portland, Oregon							radiation testing. The stuff along the banks of the Columbia River is dangerous. Tell the people.		

В	D	E	F	G	Н	l l	J	K
3 Formal Commenter	Budget/Funding	Tanks	TPA/Regulators	Technology	Groundwater	ESH	Waste Importation	Other
32). Laura Feldman Portland, Oregon 97214			DOE needs to honor its commitment and responsibility to actually clean up Hanford.					The Plans look shaky and incomplete. The Plan shifts funding & focus away from cleanup to more weaponry. Which corporations & energy companies will profit from this acceleration?
33). Hon. Ron Wyden 516 Hart Senate Office Bldg. Washington, D. C. 20510 Same comments also provided at Hood River Meeting, June 5	Don't divert funds to pursue new strategies that would increase risk.	Plan leaves waste in many of the tanks. That is unacceptable. Question the need to "close" tanks early — before the vitrification plant is operating & the most hazardous tank waste immobilized. Two prior DOE EISs have rejected leaving waste in these SSTs. DOE should continue transferring waste from SSTs w/ the highest tendency to leak to DSTs while they await vitrification. Grou has not been adequately tested as an alternative. Do appropriate experiments & analysis of this waste treatment alternative using simulated, not actual, waste before ordering a change from the vitrification method. Don't risk the safety of communities along the Col. River through this untested grouting process. Don't reduce ORP staff, this seriously jeopardizes the ability of the Office of River Protection to manage the construction & operation of the vitrification plant.	Stick to the Tri-Party Agreement. Accelerate risk reduction and protection of the communities along the Columbia River by implementing Tri-Party Agreement and subsequent agreements.				I'm concerned that the draft accelerated cleanup plan would allow potentially large quantities of waste to be transferred to Hanford for treatment, storage, or disposal. It would also expand the use of unlined soil trenches. Low-level waste already stored at Hanford should be in full compliance with state and federal environmental laws. No more waste importation.	
35), Jack McElrov		Current plan associated with the Waste Treatment Plant is	В	ulk vitrification is a cheaper & faster				
45 Pasco, Wash. 99301 Gerald Pollet Heart of America Northwest 1305 4th Ave., #208 Seattle. Wash. 98101		well founded. Pursue only the most hazardous tank wastes.	al	Iternative which can save money when				See Seattle Remarks same text
46								
38). Leon Swenson Richland, Wash. 99352		If we had built HWVP we would be making glass by now. My message is: Get on with it! Keep truckin', and don't start this process all over again.				Protect the environment & the population. Continue to impro acceleration. The annual whipsawing of priorities and budget is frustrating and a waste of effort. No need to do more re-characterization.	ove	
39). Greg de Bruleur								Remove the term "acceleration." Make it more efficien You have Trust responsibilities. Until we have a Northwest vision for the riparian zone, groundwater, etc. we can't put out a plan. You are going down the road to court. Determine public and the Tribes expectations-then move further.
51 Jim Trumbold, M. D. PSR Mercer Island, Wash.								Need to be cost effective, but think about the big picture and the legacy. The plan sounds sexy, Starting to waffle on Th-Party Agreement by trying to cut costs and accelerate. This is not house dust - lethal to the environment and to human health. Need to practice preventative medicine — need to prevent disaster. Pu nuclear clean up in the same basket as Homeland.
41). Joseph Miller, M. D. Sandy, Oregon 56 42). Mary Alberti		I don't see concrete plans for vitrification of all the wastes. We need a clear description of actual planned activity.			Protect the Groundwater. Protect the Columbia River.		Stop using cleanup funds to	Stop using unlined, leaking low-level burial grounds.
Seattle, Wash.							subsidize waste importation.	and animos, realing for refer build grounds.

В	D	E	F	G	Н		J	K
3 Formal Commenter	Budget/Funding	Tanks	TPA/Regulators	Technology	Groundwater	ESH	Waste Importation	Other
43). Helen Sargent 58 Spokane, Wash.		Grout unacceptable. Vitrify all of the waste.						
44). Daniel Hassler		Don't propose short-term solutions, vitrify the waste.						
59 Seattle, Wash.								
45). Jodi Jameson								No short cuts, no compromises, clean it all up even if it
60 Seattle, Wash.					Construction and the			does cost more tax money.
46). Barbara Pereira Portland, Oregon					Groundwater and the Columbia River are a mess.			Use the money to cleanup Hanford & shut it down. Shut down Fast Flux Test Facility. Drain sodium. Why
r ordana, orogon					Columbia 1 avoi are a mooci			do we have nuclear plants when the waste problem
61								can't be solved?
47). Larry Boulanger 62 Seattle, Wash.		No grout.	Stick to Tri-Party Agreement.					
48). Kurt Munnich		No grout.						No unlined trench burial.
63 Everett, Wash.								
49). Elizabeth Bell					Eliminate groundwater			
Seattle, Wash.					contamination. Protect the Columbia River.			
64		Vitribuscata Na grout	Stick to Tri Darty Agreement					
65 50). seadoyles@attbi.com		Vitrify waste. No grout.	Stick to Tri-Party Agreement.		Keep groundwater safe.	Cton maline Washington		No colleged formula books
51). Holly Graham						Stop making Washington a waste burial ground for low level & mixed rad waste.		No unlined trench burial.
52). James McGrath, M. D.		Grout unacceptable.				d mixed rad waste.		Fund investigation of contamination around low-level
Charlotte McGrath, R. N.		Grout unacceptable.						burial grounds/stop using unlined trenches. Shut down Fast Flux Test Facility, no standby status.
67								,, ,, ,, ,
53). Connie Travaille			Ask Department of Ecology to halt				Don't make Washington a national	Stop using Hanford's unlined leaking LLW burial
Bellevue, Wash.			use of unlined trenches.				low level mixed rad waste burial	grounds. Fund investigation of contamination around
							ground.	burial grounds. Stop using cleanup funds to subsidize
								disposal of waste shipped to Hanford. Stop doubling
68								waste buried at Hanford.
54). Eugene Hokanson 69 Bellevue, Wash.	DOE needs to ask for the funds to cleanup Hanford ASAP.	Remove leaky tanks/hazardous waste & vitrify it.						
55). Peter Roth						Stop importing waste & burying		Fund investigation of LLW burial ground contamination.
70 Seattle, Wash. 57). Mark Wahl		Crout could load to: evaluations, copy against into				it in unlined trenches.		
71 Langley, Wash.		Grout could lead to: explosions, easy escape into groundwater, unknown chemical reactions, further						
58). William Dixon		1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10			+			Allow continuity of service for Hanford employees. That
Richland, wash.								will allow employees to accept new challenges.
59). Penny Berglund		Vitrification is the best solution.				Not acceptable to make Hanford		
,,g						a nuclear sacrifice zone.		
73								
60). Christi Bovee					Protect the river and the	Stop importing more waste &		
74 Vancouver, Wash.					Northwest.	polluting the environment.		
61). Barbara Conner					Protect the Columbia River &			Cleanup 300 Area to unrestricted use, makes long term
75 Portland, Oregon 62). Barbara Lafrate		Don't leave high level waste in ground stored on Central			the groundwater.			economic sense.
76		Plateau. Alternative solution presented.						
63). Leslie Davenport	Obtain adequate funding through FY 08 for	Highest priorities: tank waste treatment.	Tank closure methods must abide		Decisions regarding	Tank closure methods must		Highest priorities: tank waste treatment; SNF
Richland, Wash.	acceleration.		by laws/regulations.		groundwater must be made quickly.	protect the workers/public.		removal/transfer, PU stabilization & safe storage. Consider trade offs carefully. Characterize pre-1970 waste (TRU). End states need to be defined. 300 Area fence can be moved in, but fence off 325, 326, 329 bldgs. Characterize 618-10/11 burial grounds. Continue/speed up waste management programs.
77 CA) B Haudina		Laurnort thorough alconus that is a fee fee that are in the second	To de de la constitución de la c					
64). B Hawkins bhawkins53@attbi.com 78		I support thorough cleanup that is safe for the environment. No quick fix. Pouring cement into tanks is no long range solution.	Tank closure methods must abide by laws/regs. & protect the					
65). Linda Johns		0.000	workers/public.		+	Don't increase the low level		
Tigard, Oregon						waste dumping at Hanford. Do not add to the groundwater problem that already exists.		
7966). Sahabra Tipton		Don't pour concrete into tanks. Concrete is porous. Look at our crumbling highways.					Plead against allowing Washington to take in nuclear waste from other states.	
80								